arXiv:1510.08716v2 [astro-ph.CO] 18 Mar 2016

Is there an effect of a nontrivial c_T during inflation?

Yong Cai[1*], Yu-Tong Wang[1†], and Yun-Song Piao[1,2‡]

[1] *School of Physics, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China and*

[2] *State Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 2735, Beijing 100190, China*

Abstract

Recently, we have shown that the propagation speed c_T of the primordial gravitational waves (GWs) might be nontrivially varying during inflation, which could induce local oscillations in the power spectrum of primordial GWs. In this paper, we numerically confirm that, although with a disformal redefinition of the metric the nontrivial c_T may be set as unity, the power spectrum in the frame with $c_T = 1$ is completely the same as that in the original disformal frame, i.e., the oscillating shape in the power spectrum is still reserved, since here the effect of c_T is actually encoded in the nontrivially varying Hubble parameter. In addition, we also clarify how to obtain a blue-tilted GWs spectrum by imposing a rapidly decreasing c_T during inflation.

PACS numbers:

* caiyong13@mails.ucas.ac.cn

† wangyutong12@mails.ucas.ac.cn

‡ yspiao@ucas.ac.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

Inflation [1] has been accepted as the theory of the early Universe by most cosmologists for its success in resolving several classical problems in the standard hot big bang cosmology and predicting the primordial scalar and tensor perturbations. In the recent years, high-precision observations of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) strongly support the presence of a nearly scale-invariant primordial scalar perturbation. However, the primordial tensor perturbations, i.e., the primordial gravitational waves (GWs) [2], still need to be confirmed after the analysis of the most resent polarization results of BICEP2, Keck Array, and Planck [3][4].

The detection of the primordial GWs is of great significance for verifying general relativity (GR) and strengthening our confidence in inflation. More interestingly, the primordial GWs spectrum may provide us with rich information about the theory of gravity at an extremely high energy scale. Therefore, a lot of experiments aimed at searching for primordial GWs is scheduled in the coming decades. With the experience of Planck and BICEP2, the CMB B-mode detections might be promising to bring us some news about the primordial GWs in the coming years. Meanwhile, the planning space-based GWs detectors will also be able to place constraints on the middle-frequency GWs background.

In GR, the propagating speed c_T of GWs is the same as the speed of light, and thus can naturally be set as unity. However, in modified gravity theories, such as Horndeski theory [5][6][7], beyond Horndeski theories [8],[9], Gauss-Bonnet gravity [10][11][12], next-to-leading-order fluid theory [13], and the low-energy effective string theory with higher-order corrections [14][15][16][17], see also [18][19], c_T may deviate from unity. Recently, we have shown in [20][21] that since c_T and the Hubble radius($\sim H^{-1}$) determine the horizon-crossing time of the primordial perturbation modes, the nontrivial variation of c_T could induce local oscillations in the power spectrum of primordial GWs, which can leave some observable imprints in the CMB B-mode spectrum, e.g. the oscillations around the recombination peak, see also [22][23][24] for the effect of modified gravity at late time.

Recently, it has been argued in [25] that with a disformal redefinition of the metric, c_T always can be set as unity and the Planck mass can be time independent, which makes the GWs spectrum formally the same as that in GR, see also [26][27]. In the new frame with $c_T = 1$, the primordial GWs spectrum is $P_T = 2\tilde{H}^2/\pi^2 M_p^2$. Since the power spectrum of

primordial GWs is independent of the choice of frames, e.g., [28][29][30], one might think that there should be no observable effect induced by c_T in the power spectrum of primordial GWs.

In this paper, we show that, although the propagation speed c_T of the primordial GWs could be set as unity with a proper disformal rescaling, it does not mean that there are no observable effects induced by c_T. We confirm numerically that the power spectrum in the frame with $c_T = 1$ is completely the same as that in the original disformal frame, i.e., the oscillating shape in the power spectrum is still reserved, since the effect of c_T has been encoded in the nontrivially varying Hubble parameter $\tilde{H}$ in the new frame. We also suggest an inflationary model, in which the scalar spectrum is scale invariant with a slight red tilt while the local spectrum of GWs may be slightly or strongly blue without violating the null energy condition, by imposing a rapidly decreasing c_T.

II. PRIMORDIAL GWS IN THE DISFORMAL FRAME

We follow the effective field theory of inflation [31], and in unitary gauge the action is

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\Big[\frac{M_p^2}{2}R - c_1(t) - c_2(t)g^{00} - \frac{M_p^2}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{c_T^2(t)}\right)\left(\delta K_{\mu\nu}\delta K^{\mu\nu} - \delta K^2\right)\Big], \tag{1}$$

where the parameters c_1 and c_2 are set by the Friedmann equations, which are the functions of the Hubble parameter H and $\dot{H}$; $K_{\mu\nu}$ is the extrinsic curvature of the spatial slices; the first line describes the slow-roll inflation with the propagation speed of primordial GWs $c_T = 1$; and the second line modifies it with $c_T(t)$, but does not affect the scalar perturbation, as was pointed out in [25]. The tensor mode γ_{ij} satisfies $\gamma_{ii} = 0$, and $\partial_i\gamma_{ij} = 0$, and its quadratic action for (1) is

$$S^{(2)} = \int d\tau d^3x \frac{M_p^2a^2}{8}\left[\frac{1}{c_T^2}\left(\frac{d\gamma_{ij}}{d\tau}\right)^2 - (\vec{\nabla}\gamma_{ij})^2\right], \tag{2}$$

where $\tau = \int dt/a$.

In this disformal frame, the power spectrum of primordial GWs is calculated as follows. The Fourier series of γ_{ij} is

$$\gamma_{ij}(\tau,\mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}\sum_{\lambda=+,\times}\hat{\gamma}_\lambda(\tau,\mathbf{k})\epsilon_{ij}^{(\lambda)}(\mathbf{k}), \tag{3}$$

where $\hat{\gamma}_\lambda(\tau,\mathbf{k}) = \gamma_\lambda(\tau,k)a_\lambda(\mathbf{k}) + \gamma^*_\lambda(\tau,-k)a^\dagger_\lambda(-\mathbf{k})$, the polarization tensors $\epsilon^{(\lambda)}_{ij}(\mathbf{k})$ satisfy $k_j\epsilon^{(\lambda)}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$, $\epsilon^{(\lambda)}_{ii}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$, $\epsilon^{(\lambda)}_{ij}(\mathbf{k})\epsilon^{*(\lambda')}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$ and $\epsilon^{*(\lambda)}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon^{(\lambda)}_{ij}(-\mathbf{k})$; the commutation relation of the annihilation and creation operators $a_\lambda(\mathbf{k})$ and $a^\dagger_\lambda(\mathbf{k}')$ is $[a_\lambda(\mathbf{k}), a^\dagger_{\lambda'}(\mathbf{k}')] = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')$. Thus, the equation of motion for $u(\tau,k)$ is given as

$$\frac{d^2u}{d\tau^2} + \left(c_T^2k^2 - \frac{d^2z_T/d\tau^2}{z_T}\right)u = 0, \tag{4}$$

where

$$u(\tau,k) = \gamma_\lambda(\tau,k)z_T, \quad z_T = \frac{aM_pc_T^{-1}}{2}. \tag{5}$$

Initially, the perturbations are deep inside the horizon, i.e., $c_T^2k^2 \gg \frac{d^2z_T/d\tau^2}{z_T}$. The initial condition is assumed to be the Bunch-Davies vacuum, thus, $u \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c_Tk}}e^{-ic_Tk\tau}$. The power spectrum of primordial GWs is

$$P_T = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2}\sum_{\lambda=+,\times}|\gamma_\lambda|^2 = \frac{4k^3}{\pi^2M_p^2}\cdot\frac{c_T^2}{a^2}|u|^2, \quad aH/(c_Tk) \gg 1. \tag{6}$$

Here, c_T is not required to be a constant. The effect of varying sound speed c_S of scalar perturbation on the scalar power spectrum has been widely investigated (see e.g., [32], [33] and [34]).

When c_T varies drastically with time, Eq.(4) can hardly be solved analytically. Therefore, as in Ref.[20], we will exhibit the numerical results below. We introduce the variable $\alpha = \ln a$ and write Eq.(4) as

$$u_{\alpha\alpha} + \left(1 + \frac{H_\alpha}{H}\right)u_\alpha + \frac{1}{a^2H^2}\left(c_T^2k^2 - \frac{d^2z_T/d\tau^2}{z_T}\right)u = 0, \tag{7}$$

where

$$\frac{d^2z_T/d\tau^2}{z_T} = a^2H^2\left[2 + \frac{H_\alpha}{H} - \left(3 + \frac{H_\alpha}{H}\right)\frac{c_{T\alpha}}{c_T} + 2\left(\frac{c_{T\alpha}}{c_T}\right)^2 - \frac{c_{T\alpha\alpha}}{c_T}\right], \tag{8}$$

with the initial condition

$$u|_{\alpha\ll\ln(\frac{k}{H})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial\alpha}\Big|_{\alpha\ll\ln(\frac{k}{H})} = -i\sqrt{\frac{k}{2}}\frac{1}{e^\alpha H}\Big|_{\alpha\ll\ln(\frac{k}{H})}, \tag{9}$$

where the subscript 'α' denotes $\partial/\partial\alpha$. For simplicity, we set $c_T = 1$ initially and assume that the background of slow-roll inflation is described by constant ϵ, and the Hubble parameter is $H(\alpha)/M_p = A_H\cdot e^{-\epsilon\cdot\alpha}$, in which A_H is constant.

The behavior of c_T is required for solving the perturbation equation (7) numerically. In [20], it was found that in a certain setup the leading-order α' correction $\sim e^{-\phi}R^2_{GB}$ in

heterotic string theory may lead to a short-time but drastic variation of c_T. However, to clearly clarify the nontrivial effect of c_T on the power spectrum of primordial GWs, here, we will not get involved in the specific models. Instead, inspired by [20], we will focus on two phenomenological templates as follows.

A. Oscillating c_T with $c_T = 1 + \frac{c_{T*}}{1+(\alpha-\alpha_*)^n} \cdot \sin[B(\alpha-\alpha_*)]$ and the oscillating spectrum

In this template, c_{T*} and B depict the amplitude and frequency of local oscillation of c_T, respectively, and α_* determines the time when the drastic variation happens, i.e., the scale where the nontrivial effect of c_T appears in the GWs spectrum.

In Fig.1, we see that the local oscillation is present in P_T, which is obviously induced by the nontrivial oscillation of c_T. Actually, this result is anticipated, which may be analytically showed as follows.

We assume that c_T undergoes an ideally steplike jump (i.e., initially, the speed of GWs is a constant c_{T1}, then, at sometime it suddenly jumps to another constant value c_{T2}). In such a case, the power spectrum can be given as [21]

$$P_T = P_T^{inf} \cdot \frac{f(k, k_0, x)}{c_{T1}^3}, \tag{10}$$

where

$$f(k, k_0, x) \approx \frac{1}{x^2}\left[1 + (\frac{1}{x^2} - 1)\cos^2(\frac{k}{k_0})\right] \tag{11}$$

for $k > k_0$, k_0 is the wave number of the GWs mode exiting the horizon when c_T jumps, $x = c_{T2}/c_{T1}$, and $P_T^{inf} = 2H_{inf}^2/\pi^2 M_p^2$ is the standard result of slow-roll inflation with $c_T = 1$. Obviously, $f(k, k_0, x)$ will oscillate between $1/x^2$ and $1/x^4$. Hence, for the more general case in which c_T experiences drastic variation, we roughly have

$$\left(\frac{P_T}{P_T^{inf}}\right)_{max} \approx \frac{c_{T\,ini}}{c_{T\,min}^4}, \qquad \left(\frac{P_T}{P_T^{inf}}\right)_{min} \approx \frac{c_{T\,ini}}{c_{T\,max}^4}, \tag{12}$$

namely, P_T oscillates between $P_T^{inf} \cdot c_{T\,ini}/c_{T\,max}^4$ and $P_T^{inf} \cdot c_{T\,ini}/c_{T\,min}^4$, where "max" and "min" denote the maximal and minimal values of corresponding quantities during oscillating, respectively, and "ini" denotes the initial value. In Fig.1, for the green line, $c_{T\,ini}/c_{T\,min}^4 \simeq 1/(0.5)^4 \sim 10$ and $c_{T\,ini}/c_{T\,max}^4 \simeq 1/(1.6)^4 \sim 0.1$, and thus the oscillating amplitude of P_T obeys $0.1 \lesssim P_T/P_T^{inf} \lesssim 10$, which is consistent with the corresponding power spectrum.

The similar oscillating shape in the primordial GWs spectrum has been obtained in a stringy model [20], in which the variation of c_T similar to that in Fig.1 was implemented. There are also other mechanisms, which may endow the power spectrum of GWs produced during inflation with features, such as the particle production [35][36], and the pseudoscalar field interacting with gauge fields [37][38][39][40]. However, their signals are entirely different from the oscillation shown here. In addition, some theories of modified gravity can also alter the primordial GWs spectrum, e.g., [41][42].

B. Dipping c_T with $c_T = 1 - c_{T*}e^{-D(\alpha-\alpha_*)^2}$ and the blue spectrum

In this template, c_{T*} and D depict the depth and width of the dipping, respectively, and similar to that in II.A, α_* determines the time when the dipping happens.

In Fig.2, we see that the local bump is present in P_T, i.e., rapidly increases and then returns with an oscillating modulation. The rapid increasing of P_T implies the spectrum is blue tilted at corresponding region. This tilt is induced by the rapid decrease of c_T during dipping, which may be estimated as follows.

We, for simplicity, assume that locally c_T decrease like

$$c_T = (-H_{inf}\tau)^p, \tag{13}$$

in which $p > 0$. It may be rewritten as $\frac{\dot{c}_T}{H_{inf}c_T} = -p$.

We set $dy = c_T d\tau$ [43], and thus the equation of motion of $u(y,k)$ is

$$u_{yy} + \left(k^2 - \frac{z_{T,yy}}{z_T}\right)u = 0, \tag{14}$$

where $u(y,k) = \gamma_\lambda(y,k)z_T$ and $z_T = \frac{aM_p c_T^{-1/2}}{2}$. On superhorizon scales, its solution is

$$|u|^2 \simeq \frac{1}{2k}(-ky)^{1-\frac{3+2p}{1+p}}. \tag{15}$$

Thus the power spectrum of GWs is

$$P_T = \frac{4k^3}{\pi^2 M_P^2}\frac{c_T|u^2|}{a^2} = \frac{2H_{inf}^2(1+p)^2}{\pi^2 c_T M_P^2}(-ky)^{\frac{p}{1+p}}, \tag{16}$$

where $y = \frac{c_T}{1+p}\tau = -\frac{c_T}{(1+p)aH_{inf}}$. Thus,

$$n_T = \frac{p}{1+p} \tag{17}$$

is blue tilted. Here, we have neglected the running of H_{inf}; otherwise, it will contribute -2ϵ. When $p \ll 1$, i.e., c_T is slowly varying, the result is consistent with that in Ref.[44]. However, ours is valid not only for $p \ll 1$ but also for an arbitrary positive value of p. In addition, it should be mentioned that $0 < n_T \leqslant 1$, i.e., n_T cannot be larger than 1.

The blue GWs spectrum is interesting, since it boosts the possibility that the primordial GWs background is detectable [45][46], see also [47][48] for the discussions in the pre-big bang scenario. How to obtain a blue GWs spectrum without the ghost instability while reserving a scale-invariant scalar spectrum with a red tilt is still a significant issue, e.g., [49][50][51][52], and also [53]. Here, we actually suggest such an alternative. The background is given by the first line in (1), which is the slow-roll inflation. The scalar perturbation is not affected by the decrease of $c_T(t)$. Thus its spectrum may be slightly red tilted, as required by the observations. However, the spectrum of GWs, which is given by (17), may be slightly or strongly blue. The more rapidly c_T decreases, the bluer the spectrum is. We will discuss this scenario again in the end of Sec. III.



(a) $c_T(\alpha)$ (b) The power spectrum in the disformal frame.

FIG. 1: $c_T(\alpha) = 1 + \frac{c_{T*}}{1+(\alpha-\alpha_*)^n}\sin[B(\alpha-\alpha_*)]$, where $\alpha_* = 9$, $\epsilon = 0.003$ and $A_H = 2.72\times10^{-5}$. We set $c_{T*} = 0.2$, $B = 4$, $n = 10$ for the magenta lines and $c_{T*} = 0.7$, $B = 2$, $n = 4$ for the green lines.

III. PRIMORDIAL GWS IN THE EINSTEIN FRAME

In Ref.[25], it is demonstrated for (1) (and also those cases that the quadratic operators has at most two-spatial derivatives) that the propagation speed $c_T^2(t)$ of GWs can be set to



(a) $c_T(\alpha)$ (b) The power spectrum in the disformal frame.

FIG. 2: $c_T(\alpha) = 1 - c_{T*}e^{-D(\alpha-\alpha_*)^2}$, where $c_{T*} = 0.9$, $\alpha_* = 9$, $\epsilon = 0.003$ and $A_H = 2.72 \times 10^{-5}$. We set $D = 0.2$ for the magenta lines and $D = 0.5$ for the green lines.

unity with a disformal redefinition of metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} \to c_T^{-1}\left[g_{\mu\nu} + (1 - c_T^2)n_\mu n_\nu\right] . \tag{18}$$

Then, the line element is $ds^2 = -c_T dt^2 + c_T^{-1}a^2 d\mathbf{x}^2$. It is convenient to define

$$\tilde{t} \equiv \int c_T^{1/2} dt, \qquad \tilde{a}(\tilde{t}) \equiv c_T^{-1/2} a(t), \tag{19}$$

which makes the line element be $ds^2 = -d\tilde{t}^2 + \tilde{a}^2 d\mathbf{x}^2$. In the new frame, i.e., the Einstein frame, the quadratic action of γ_{ij} becomes (see, e.g., [29][27])

$$S^{(2)} = \int d\tilde{\tau} d^3x \frac{M_p^2 \tilde{a}^2}{8}\left[\left(\frac{d\gamma_{ij}}{d\tilde{\tau}}\right)^2 - (\vec{\nabla}\gamma_{ij})^2\right] , \tag{20}$$

where $d\tilde{\tau} = d\tilde{t}/\tilde{a}$. Thus, we have $c_T = 1$ in this frame. We can calculate the power spectrum as follows.

The equation of motion for $u(\tilde{\tau}, k)$ is

$$\frac{d^2u}{d\tilde{\tau}^2} + \left(k^2 - \frac{d^2\tilde{z}_T/d\tilde{\tau}^2}{\tilde{z}_T}\right)u = 0, \tag{21}$$

where

$$u(\tilde{\tau}, k) = \gamma_\lambda(\tilde{\tau}, k) \cdot \tilde{z}_T, \quad \tilde{z}_T = \frac{\tilde{a}M_p}{2}. \tag{22}$$

The initial condition is still the Bunch-Davies vacuum $u \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}}e^{-ik\tilde{\tau}}$. The power spectrum of primordial GWs can be written as

$$P_T = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2}\sum_{\lambda=+,\times}|\gamma_\lambda|^2 = \frac{4k^3}{\pi^2 M_p^2 \tilde{a}^2}\cdot|u|^2, \quad \tilde{a}\tilde{H}/k \gg 1. \tag{23}$$

When $\tilde{\epsilon} = -\frac{d\tilde{H}/d\tilde{t}}{\tilde{H}^2} \ll 1$, we have

$$P_T = 2\tilde{H}^2/\pi^2 M_P^2, \tag{24}$$

where

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{d\tilde{a}/d\tilde{t}}{\tilde{a}} = c_T^{-1/2}\left(H_{inf} - \frac{dc_T/dt}{2c_T}\right), \tag{25}$$

which is just the standard result of slow-roll inflation but with $\tilde{H}$ replacing H_{inf}. This result looks like a conflict with that calculated in the disformal frame, in which the drastic variation of $c_T(t)$ results in the nontrivial effect on P_T, since the power spectrum of the primordial perturbations should be independent of the choice of frames.

However, $\tilde{\epsilon} \ll 1$ implicitly assumes $\frac{\dot{c_T}}{Hc_T} \ll 1$. When c_T experiences some drastic variations, the condition $\tilde{\epsilon} \ll 1$ is usually broken, and thus (24) cannot be applied to such cases. Actually, since the the evolution of c_T in the disformal frame is totally encoded in $\tilde{H}$, see (25), a slow-roll inflation with $c_T(t)$ in the disformal frame may no longer be the slow-roll model in the new frame with $c_T \equiv 1$. Below, we will numerically confirm that the results in both frames are equivalent.

Again, we write Eq.(21) as

$$u_{\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\alpha}} + \left(1 + \frac{\tilde{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\tilde{H}}\right)u_{\tilde{\alpha}} + \left(\frac{k^2}{\tilde{a}^2\tilde{H}^2} - 2 - \frac{\tilde{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\tilde{H}}\right)u = 0, \tag{26}$$

with the initial conditions

$$u|_{\tilde{\alpha}\ll\ln(\frac{k}{\tilde{H}})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial\tilde{\alpha}}\Big|_{\tilde{\alpha}\ll\ln(\frac{k}{\tilde{H}})} = -i\sqrt{\frac{k}{2}}\frac{1}{e^{\tilde{\alpha}}\tilde{H}}\Big|_{\tilde{\alpha}\ll\ln(\frac{k}{\tilde{H}})}, \tag{27}$$

which is actually equivalent to (9), since we have assumed $dc_T/d\tau = 0$ initially, where $\tilde{\alpha} = \ln\tilde{a}$ and the subscript $\tilde{\alpha}$ denotes $\partial/\partial\tilde{\alpha}$.

Using the templates for c_T, we numerically solve Eq.(26) and plot the evolutions of $\tilde{H}$, $\tilde{\epsilon}$, and P_T in Figs. 3 and 4. We see that the behavior of c_T in the disformal frame is fully encoded in $\tilde{H}(\tilde{\alpha})$, which acts as the effective Hubble parameter in the new frame, and $\tilde{\epsilon} = -\frac{d\tilde{H}/d\tilde{t}}{\tilde{H}^2} \gg 1$ during some period though the slow-roll parameter $\epsilon \ll 1$ in the original frame. This clearly indicates that, due to the drastic variation of c_T, in the disformal frame the Universe is in slow-roll inflation, but in the Einstein frame, it is not. However, P_T in both frames are completely same. Thus, although the propagation speed c_T of primordial GWs could be set as unity, the effects of the nontrivial variation of c_T on the power spectrum of GWs is still reserved. Thus if we see the oscillating shape in P_T, we may attribute it to

the dramatic evolution of c_T in the disformal frame, or equally, to the dramatic evolution of $\tilde{H}$ in the new frame.

We also numerically confirm that, although the sound speed of scalar perturbation is altered as $\tilde{c}_s = 1/c_T$ [25][27], the scalar power spectrum is also actually the same as that in the disformal frame, i.e., that of slow-roll inflation $P_\zeta = \frac{H_{inf}^2}{8\pi^2 M_p^2 \epsilon}$, as in [25].

In Fig.4, $\tilde{H}$ rapidly increases for a while, similar to that in the so-called phantom inflation scenario [49]. Thus, it is natural that the corresponding P_T is blue tilted. However, in the disformal frame, the blue tilt is attributed to the rapid decrease of c_T. Thus, the nontrivial effect of c_T provides an alternative angle of view for the phantom superinflation; i.e., it might be just a slow-roll inflation living in a disformal frame with c_T rapidly decreasing. On the other hand, if we treat it as $c_T = 1$, what we will feel is just the superinflation, and obviously there is not the ghost instability.



(a) $\tilde{H}$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}$ (b) The power spectrum in the Einstein frame.

FIG. 3: $c_T(\alpha) = 1 + \frac{c_{T*}}{1+(\alpha-\alpha_*)^n}\sin[B(\alpha-\alpha_*)]$, where $\alpha_* = 9$, $\epsilon = 0.003$ and $A_H = 2.72 \times 10^{-5}$. We set $c_{T*} = 0.2$, $B = 4$, $n = 10$ for the magenta lines and $c_{T*} = 0.7$, $B = 2$, $n = 4$ for the green lines.

IV. DISCUSSION

We have illustrated that the effects of the propagation speed c_T of the primordial GWs on the inflationary GWs spectrum may be nontrivial. We numerically confirmed that, although $c_T(t)$ in the disformal frame may be set as unity with a disformal redefinition of metric, the power spectrum in the frame with $c_T = 1$ is completely the same as that in the original disformal frame, i.e., the oscillating shape in the power spectrum is still reserved, since in



(a) $\tilde{H}$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}$ (b) The power spectrum in the Einstein frame.

FIG. 4: $c_T(\alpha) = 1 - c_{T*}e^{-D(\alpha-\alpha_*)^2}$, where $c_{T*} = 0.9$, $\alpha_* = 9$, $\epsilon = 0.003$ and $A_H = 2.72 \times 10^{-5}$. We set $D = 0.2$ for the magenta lines and $D = 0.5$ for the green lines.

the frame with $c_T = 1$ the effect of c_T is actually encoded in the nontrivially varying Hubble parameter. Actually, the physical behavior of horizon crossing, which depends on both the propagation speed c_T and the Hubble horizon, should be invariant in both frames.

However, if the condition $\tilde{\epsilon} \ll 1$ is imposed, i.e., the Universe must be in slow-roll inflation in the frame with $c_T = 1$, the result will be the same as that in Ref.[25]. Actually, this condition implicitly assumes $\frac{\dot{c_T}}{Hc_T} \ll 1$, and thus it is impossible that the effect of the nontrivial variation of c_T is involved. Here, the key point is that the slow-roll inflation model with a dramatic variation of $c_T(t)$ in the disformal frame might no longer be the slow-roll inflation when we see it with $c_T \equiv 1$, which is different from the assumption made in [25]. Namely, the result of [25] is applicable only for the slow-roll inflation model where c_T varies very slow and the background is still slow-roll inflation in the frame with $c_T = 1$ after the disformal redefinition of the metric. However, it is interesting to notice that for (1) the power spectrum of the scalar perturbation is not affected by $c_T(t)$, so its spectrum is still the standard result of slow-roll inflation no matter in which frame we see it, which may be consistent with the observations.

Thus the nontrivial effect of c_T may offer the slow-roll inflation scenario more flexibility in predictions for the primordial GWs. We present such a slow-roll model, in which the scalar spectrum is scale invariant with slightly red tilt while the local spectrum of GWs may be slightly or strongly blue, by imposing a rapidly decreasing c_T. Although when we work in the frame with $c_T = 1$, what we will feel is the superinflation, obviously there is no ghost instability. The relevant issue is interesting for further study.

The local oscillation in the power spectrum of primordial GWs induced by $c_T(t)$ may be measurably imprinted in CMB B-mode polarization spectrum[20][21]. However, it is also possible that the induced oscillations happened at a scale far smaller than the CMB scale. Recently, the LIGO Scientific Collaboration reported their direct detection of gravitational waves which come from a binary black hole merger [55]. There is no doubt that this discovery is of great significance in fundamental science and will be a scientific milestone for exploring our Universe.

The local oscillation in the power spectrum or a blue power spectrum of primordial GWs will significantly boost the stochastic GWs background at the frequency band of Advanced LIGO/Virgo (see [56] for a recent study) as well as the space-based detectors such as BBO or DECIGO. We plot the energy spectrum $\Omega_{gw}(f)$ of the primordial GWs in Fig.5, where f is the frequency of GWs. In addition, it is also interesting to investigate the effect of the corresponding oscillation on the circular polarization of primordial GWs, e.g., [54]. Therefore, if these high-precision observations would observe the oscillation shape or a blue tilt of the primordial GWs power spectrum, we will promisingly acquire significant insights into the physics beyond GR.



FIG. 5: Ω_{gw} with respect to frequency f. We take $c_T(\alpha) = 1 - c_{T*}e^{-D(\alpha-\alpha_*)^2}$, where $D = 0.2$ and $c_{T*} = 0.9$.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by NSFC, Grant No.11222546, and National Basic Research Program of China, Grant No.2010CB832804, and the Strategic Priority Research Program

of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Grant No.XDA04000000.

[1] A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 347 (1981); A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B **91**, 99 (1980); A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B **108**, 389 (1982); A. Albrecht and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1220 (1982).

[2] L. P. Grishchuk, Sov. Phys. JETP **40**, 409 (1975); A. A. Starobinsky, JETP Lett. **30**, 682 (1979); V. A. Rubakov, M. V. Sazhin, and A. V. Veryaskin, Phys. Lett. **B115**, 189 (1982).

[3] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [BICEP2 and Planck Collaborations], Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 101301 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.101301 [arXiv:1502.00612 [astro-ph.CO]].

[4] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1502.02114 [astro-ph.CO].

[5] G. W. Horndeski, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **10**, 363 (1974).

[6] C. Deffayet, X. Gao, D. A. Steer and G. Zahariade, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 064039 (2011) [arXiv:1103.3260 [hep-th]].

[7] T. Kobayashi, M. Yamaguchi and J. Yokoyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. **126**, 511 (2011) [arXiv:1105.5723 [hep-th]].

[8] J. Gleyzes, D. Langlois, F. Piazza and F. Vernizzi, arXiv:1404.6495 [hep-th].

[9] X. Gao, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 081501 (2014) [arXiv:1406.0822 [gr-qc]]; X. Gao, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 104033 (2014) [arXiv:1409.6708 [gr-qc]].

[10] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B **631**, 1 (2005) [hep-th/0508049]; K. Bamba, A. N. Makarenko, A. N. Myagky and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B **732**, 349 (2014) [arXiv:1403.3242 [hep-th]]; K. Bamba, A. N. Makarenko, A. N. Myagky and S. D. Odintsov, JCAP **1504**, 04, 001 (2015) [arXiv:1411.3852 [hep-th]].

[11] M. Satoh and J. Soda, JCAP **0809**, 019 (2008) [arXiv:0806.4594 [astro-ph]].

[12] V. K. Oikonomou, arXiv:1509.05827 [gr-qc]; J. Haro, A. N. Makarenko, A. N. Myagky, S. D. Odintsov and V. K. Oikonomou, arXiv:1506.08273 [gr-qc].

[13] G. Ballesteros, JCAP **1503**, 03, 001 (2015) [arXiv:1410.2793 [hep-th]].

[14] R. R. Metsaev and A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. **B293**, 385 (1987).

[15] I. Antoniadis, J. Rizos and K. Tamvakis, Nucl. Phys. B **415**, 497 (1994) [hep-th/9305025].

[16] S. Kawai, M. a. Sakagami and J. Soda, Phys. Lett. B **437**, 284 (1998) [gr-qc/9802033]; J. Soda, M. a. Sakagami and S. Kawai, gr-qc/9807056; S. Kawai and J. Soda, Phys. Lett. B **460**, 41

(1999) [gr-qc/9903017].

[17] C. Cartier, E. J. Copeland and R. Madden, JHEP **0001**, 035 (2000) [hep-th/9910169]; C. Cartier, J. c. Hwang and E. J. Copeland, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 103504 (2001) [astro-ph/0106197].

[18] Y. S. Piao, S. Tsujikawa and X. m. Zhang, Class. Quant. Grav. **21**, 4455 (2004) [hep-th/0312139].

[19] K. i. Maeda and N. Ohta, Phys. Lett. B **597**, 400 (2004) [hep-th/0405205]; K. Akune, K. i. Maeda and N. Ohta, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 103506 (2006) [hep-th/0602242]; Z. K. Guo, N. Ohta and S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 023520 (2007) [hep-th/0610336].

[20] Y. Cai, Y. T. Wang and Y. S. Piao, arXiv:1508.07114 [hep-th].

[21] Y. Cai, Y. T. Wang and Y. S. Piao, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 103001 (2015) [arXiv:1501.06345 [astro-ph.CO]].

[22] L. Amendola, G. Ballesteros and V. Pettorino, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 043009 (2014) [arXiv:1405.7004 [astro-ph.CO]].

[23] M. Raveri, C. Baccigalupi, A. Silvestri and S. Y. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 6, 061501 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.061501 [arXiv:1405.7974 [astro-ph.CO]].

[24] J. B. Jimnez, F. Piazza and H. Velten, arXiv:1507.05047 [gr-qc].

[25] P. Creminelli, J. Gleyzes, J. Noreña and F. Vernizzi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, no. 23, 231301 (2014) [arXiv:1407.8439 [astro-ph.CO]].

[26] Y. Watanabe, A. Naruko and M. Sasaki, Europhys. Lett. **111**, 39002 (2015) [arXiv:1504.00672 [gr-qc]]; G. Domnech, A. Naruko and M. Sasaki, arXiv:1505.00174 [gr-qc].

[27] D. Baumann, H. Lee and G. L. Pimentel, arXiv:1507.07250 [hep-th].

[28] M. Minamitsuji, Phys. Lett. B **737**, 139 (2014) [arXiv:1409.1566 [astro-ph.CO]].

[29] S. Tsujikawa, JCAP **1504**, 04, 043 (2015) [arXiv:1412.6210 [hep-th]].

[30] M. Li and Y. Mou, JCAP **1510**, 10, 037 (2015) [arXiv:1505.04074 [gr-qc]].

[31] C. Cheung, P. Creminelli, A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan and L. Senatore, JHEP **0803**, 014 (2008) [arXiv:0709.0293 [hep-th]].

[32] M. Nakashima, R. Saito, Y. i. Takamizu and J. Yokoyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. **125**, 1035 (2011) doi:10.1143/PTP.125.1035 [arXiv:1009.4394 [astro-ph.CO]].

[33] M. Park and L. Sorbo, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 083520 (2012) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.083520 [arXiv:1201.2903 [astro-ph.CO]].

[34] A. Achucarro, V. Atal, B. Hu, P. Ortiz and J. Torrado, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 2, 023511 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.023511 [arXiv:1404.7522 [astro-ph.CO]].

[35] J. L. Cook, and L. Sorbo, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 023534 (2012) [arXiv:1109.0022 [astro-ph.CO]].

[36] L. Senatore, E. Silverstein and M. Zaldarriaga, JCAP **1408**, 016 (2014) [arXiv:1109.0542 [hep-th]].

[37] L. Sorbo, JCAP **1106**, 003 (2011) [arXiv:1101.1525 [astro-ph.CO]].

[38] S. Mukohyama, R. Namba, M. Peloso and G. Shiu, JCAP **1408**, 036 (2014) [arXiv:1405.0346 [astro-ph.CO]].

[39] R. Z. Ferreira and M. S. Sloth, JHEP **1412**, 139 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2014)139 [arXiv:1409.5799 [hep-ph]].

[40] R. Namba, M. Peloso, M. Shiraishi, L. Sorbo and C. Unal, arXiv:1509.07521 [astro-ph.CO].

[41] M. Johnson and A. Terrana, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 4, 044001 (2015) [arXiv:1503.05560 [astro-ph.CO]].

[42] M. Fasiello and R. H. Ribeiro, JCAP **1507**, 07, 027 (2015) [arXiv:1505.00404 [astro-ph.CO]].

[43] J. Khoury and F. Piazza, JCAP **0907**, 026 (2009) [arXiv:0811.3633 [hep-th]].

[44] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 10, 103506 (2015) [arXiv:1411.0736 [hep-th]].

[45] W. Zhao, Y. Zhang, X. P. You and Z. H. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 12, 124012 (2013) [arXiv:1303.6718 [astro-ph.CO]]; M. L. Tong and Y. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 084022 (2009) [arXiv:0910.0325 [gr-qc]]; X. J. Liu, W. Zhao, Y. Zhang and Z. H. Zhu, arXiv:1509.03524 [astro-ph.CO].

[46] L. A. Boyle and A. Buonanno, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 043531 (2008) [arXiv:0708.2279 [astro-ph]].

[47] R. Brustein, M. Gasperini, M. Giovannini and G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. B **361**, 45 (1995) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(95)01128-D [hep-th/9507017].

[48] M. Gasperini and G. Veneziano, Phys. Rept. **373**, 1 (2003) doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(02)00389-7 [hep-th/0207130].

[49] Y. S. Piao and E. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 083515 (2003) [hep-th/0308080]; Y. S. Piao and Y. Z. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 063513 (2004) [astro-ph/0401231]; Y. S. Piao, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 047302 (2006) [gr-qc/0601115]; Z. -G. Liu, J. Zhang and Y. -S. Piao, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 063508 (2011) [arXiv:1105.5713].

[50] P. Creminelli, M. A. Luty, A. Nicolis and L. Senatore, JHEP **0612**, 080 (2006) [hep-

th/0606090].

[51] Y. Wang and W. Xue, JCAP **1410**, 10, 075 (2014) [arXiv:1403.5817 [astro-ph.CO]].

[52] Y. F. Cai, J. O. Gong, S. Pi, E. N. Saridakis and S. Y. Wu, Nucl. Phys. B **900**, 517 (2015) [arXiv:1412.7241 [hep-th]].

[53] D. Cannone, G. Tasinato and D. Wands, JCAP **1501**, no. 01, 029 (2015) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2015/01/029 [arXiv:1409.6568 [astro-ph.CO]].

[54] M. Satoh, S. Kanno and J. Soda, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 023526 (2008) [arXiv:0706.3585 [astro-ph]].

[55] B. P. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations], Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 6, 061102 (2016) [arXiv:1602.03837 [gr-qc]].

[56] Y. Cai, Y. T. Wang and Y. S. Piao, arXiv:1602.05431 [astro-ph.CO].